

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/982,075	10/19/2001	Satoru Okada	723-1190	8698	
7:	590 04/15/2003				
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.			EXAMINER		
8th Floor 1100 North Gle	*	·	ENATSKY, AARON L		
Arlington, VA 22201-4714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3713	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/2003	3 W	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/982,075	OKADA ET AL.	
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Aaron L Enatsky	3713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The apploriginally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or bj ould be rejected is provided belo)∏ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>25-32 and 34-37</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: 21,22,33 and 38-40.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	 (\mathfrak{D}
10. Other:	Α, Ας: 	LENCIA MARTIN-WALL RVISORY PATENT EXAM CHNOLOGY CENTER 37	MINER

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's arguments regarding claims 21-22, 33 and 38-40 are commensurate in scope with arguments addressed in the final rejection. Applicant's argument regarding the priority date overcome Examiner's use of US Patent No. 6,209,043 to Sanemitsu. As such Applicant's request for reconsideration are not deemed to place the application in better condition for allowance.